Guidelines for Manuscript Reviewers of Mangal Research Journal (MRJ)

Mangal Research Journal (MRJ) invites its reviewers to go through the manuscripts and provide their judgments to support how these manuscripts are scholarly and projectable. Look at the following guidelines for reviewing and briefly comment upon the manuscripts to facilitate its editors to take decision.

- 1. Is the title OK? Does the title reflect the contents of the article? Would you prefer to give a suitable title?
- 2. Is the abstract written in format? Do you have any particular suggestions to improve it? Briefly suggest.
- 3. Is the article written in line with the format of (research) article writing? What could be the measures to improve it?
- 4. Ethical Consideration: Are issues related to ethics adequately described? Has the author attempted to indulge any sort of vicious conduct? Mention.
- 5. Does the article cover the quality of writing and quality of ideas both? Be considerate and make a comment or provide a solution.
- 6. Report each suspected plagiarism.
- 7. Do not show your identity or institution while you comment for the authors.
- 8. Limit your recommendations up to article contents and formats, never to publishing of it.
- 9. Always talk about the paper, the issues, ideas and methods only, not the author.
- 10. Be polite and scholarly, but detailed and constructive.
- 11. Timeliness review is expected. If you cannot meet the deadline, let the editors know when they can expect your review.
- 12. We appreciate the number-wise comments pasted into the text boxes provided on the system.

13. Suggestions for publication

Page no of	Suggestions
text	
14. If any further suggestion(s):	
15. Finally, how would you suggest the editors to arrive at?	
1) Accept the paper	
2) Accept the paper with revisions (major and minor comments)	

3) Reject the paper from publication

Signature:
Mobile no:
Full name:
Email:
Designation:
Organization:
Address:
Date:

The Editorial Board

Mangal Research Journal (MRJ)